

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,391	09/17/2003	John J. Donnelly	19732YPCA	4491
210 7	590 09/09/2005		EXAMINER	
MERCK AND CO., INC			KELLY, ROBERT M	
P O BOX 2000				
RAHWAY, NJ 07065-0907			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1633	

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	لم				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Cummans	10/664,391	DONNELLY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Robert M. Kelly	1633			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the many be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ju	ıly 2004.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,8-23 and 26 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,8-23 and 26 are subject to restrict	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati	on No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
*					
Attachment(s)	·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			
. apor rio(o)/mail bate	o) 🔲 otilet				

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-2, 8-23, and 26 are presently pending.

Preliminary Note

Applicant's claims are drawn to sequences in figures 5,9,10,11,12,and 13. These sequences are not referenced by SEQ ID NO. and Applicant is required to fix such claims in order for a response from this Restriction requirement to be considered fully responsive.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Applicant is required to choose one species from each of the following groups of species:

- (i) Applicant is required to choose one of the five HCV proteins listed in claim 1 (note: fragments is not considered a protein itself, but only in the context of each species of this group);
- (ii) Applicant is required to choose one of the secondary agents for administration from the ten secondary administrations listed in claims 11, 13, 15, 19, 21, and 23 (note: non-specific species, i.e., "other ... agents" are not considered species for election); and
- (iii) Applicant is required to choose a nucleotide sequence from the six listed in claim 26 (note: If Applicant chooses a nucleotide sequence encoding a distinct protein from that elected in (i), above, such election will result a notice of non-compliant election, because such would be inconsonant with the election in (i), above).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-2 are generic.

Art Unit: 1633

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1633

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M. Kelly, Art Unit 1633, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0729. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-0731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert M. Kelly, Ph.D. Examiner, USPTO, AU 1633 2C55 Remsen Building (571) 272-0729

DAVE TRONG NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED